

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,368	MARTINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Andrea Doigo, Attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Dorigo informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the office action mailed February 12, 2004. The applicant will consider filing petition to revive the case later

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)